



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

TROPHY CLUB MEDICAL CENTER
2850 E HIGHWAY 114
TROPHY CLUB TX 76262-5302

Respondent Name

Commerce & Industry Insurance

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 19

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-3116-01

MFDR Date Received

May 13, 2011

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Not paid at 200% APC appealed carrier upheld original decision."

Amount in Dispute: \$1,131.99

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "After careful review of the above medical fee dispute, Chartis has determined that the bill was paid correctly per the Workers' Compensation Sate Fee Schedule Adjustment."

Response Submitted by: Chartis, 4100 Alpha Road, Ste 700, Dallas, Texas 75244

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
January 3, 2011	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$1,131.99	\$1,086.51

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, titled *Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
4. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated February 1, 2011

- 1 – (97) Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure
- 2 – (W1) Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment

- 3 – (59) Processed based on multiple or concurrent procedure rules.
- 4 – (96) Non-covered charge(s).

March 11, 2011

- 1 – (97) Payment is included in the allowance for another service/procedure.
- 2 – (W1) Workers Compensation State Fee Schedule Adjustment
- 3 – (59) Processed based on multiple or concurrent procedure rules.
- 4 – (98) Non-covered charge(s).

Issues

1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. Review of the submitted documentation finds no information to support that the disputed services are subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute.
2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested.
3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code 27641, date of service January 3, 2011, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 0050, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,220.83. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,332.50. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9716 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,294.66. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$888.33. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$2,182.99. Per 42 Code of Federal Regulations §419.43(d) and Medicare Claims Processing Manual, CMS Publication 100-04, Chapter 4, §10.7.1, if the total cost for a service exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment and also exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025, the outlier payment is 50% of the amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment. Per the OPPS Facility-Specific Impacts file, CMS lists the cost-to-charge ratio for this provider as 0.294. This ratio multiplied by the billed charge of \$7,903.00 yields a cost of \$2,323.48. The total cost of all packaged items is allocated proportionately across all separately paid OPPS services based on the percentage of the total APC payment. The APC payment for these services of \$2,182.99 divided by the sum of all APC payments is 50.90%. The sum of all packaged costs is \$1,318.40. The allocated portion of packaged costs is \$671.04. This amount added to the service cost yields a total cost of \$2,994.52. The cost of these services exceeds the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The amount by which the cost exceeds 1.75 times the OPPS payment is \$0.00. The total APC payment for this line is \$2,182.99. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$4,365.98.

- Procedure code 27696, date of service January 3, 2011, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 0050, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,220.83. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,332.50. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9716 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,294.66. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$888.33. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$2,182.99. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total APC payment for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$1,091.50. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$2,183.00.
 - Procedure code 29898, date of service January 3, 2011, has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 50%. These services are classified under APC 0041, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$2,064.02. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$1,238.41. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9716 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$1,203.24. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$825.61. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$2,028.85. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$2,025. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total APC payment for this line, including multiple-procedure discount, is \$1,014.43. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$2,028.86.
 - Procedure code L8699, date of service January 3, 2011, has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
 - Procedure code 81025, date of service January 3, 2011, has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is \$8.90. 125% of this amount is \$11.13. The recommended payment is \$11.13.
4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$8,588.97. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$7,502.46 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$1,086.51. This amount is recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$1,086.51.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$1,086.51, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this order.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

March , 2013
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision*** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.**

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.